

Examining The Relationship between Firm Age, Financial Leverage and Dividend Policy in Pakistan's Banking Sector

Faria Kamal*

Research Scholar, Karachi University Business School, University of Karachi, Pakistan,

Email: fariakamal110@gmail.com

Muhammad Muzammil

Assistant Professor, Karachi University Business School, University of Karachi, Pakistan,

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2066-1954> , Email: muhammad.muzammil@uok.edu.pk

Abstract

This study examines the effect of firm age, financial leverage, and earnings per share on dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector. Dividend policy is a critical financial decision for commercial banks because they must balance dividend distribution with retained earnings, liquidity, capital adequacy, regulatory obligations, and future growth requirements. The study employs a quantitative, explanatory research design and uses secondary data obtained from audited annual reports and financial statements of selected listed commercial banks in Pakistan. The sample consists of 50 bank-year observations covering five banks over the period 2015–2024. Dividend policy is measured through the dividend payout ratio, while firm age is measured in years since establishment. Financial leverage is measured as total liabilities divided by total assets, and earnings per share is included as an additional explanatory variable. The data are analysed using descriptive statistics and fixed-effects panel regression in EViews. The results show that the overall fixed-effects regression model is statistically significant ($F = 12.512$, $p < .001$), with an adjusted R-squared value of 0.310. This indicates that the study variables explain approximately 31.0% of the variation in dividend policy. Firm age has a significant positive effect on dividend policy ($\beta = 0.0521$, $p < .001$), indicating that more mature banks tend to distribute higher dividends. The quadratic age term has a significant negative effect ($\beta = -0.0114$, $p < .001$), while the cubic term is positive and significant ($\beta = 0.0029$, $p < .001$), demonstrating a nonlinear relationship between age and dividend payout. Financial leverage has a significant negative effect on dividend policy ($\beta = -0.0284$, $p < .001$), whereas earnings per share has a significant positive effect ($\beta = 0.0386$, $p < .001$). The study concludes that bank maturity, leverage, and profitability significantly shape dividend decisions in Pakistan's banking sector.

Keywords: Dividend policy; dividend payout ratio; firm age; financial leverage; earnings per share; Pakistan banking sector.

Introduction

Background of the Study

Dividend policy is a major corporate-finance decision because it determines the amount of earnings distributed to shareholders and the amount retained for future operations. A regular dividend

may assure investors that an organization has stable earnings and sufficient financial strength. The dividend payout ratio is commonly used to evaluate dividend policy because it shows the proportion of earnings paid to shareholders as dividends. In this study, dividend policy is measured through dividend per share divided by earnings per share, multiplied by 100. A high ratio indicates that a bank distributes a larger portion of its available earnings, while a low ratio indicates that it retains more earnings for future needs. Investors frequently view dividends as a direct and more certain return on their investment (Gordon, 1959). Nevertheless, a bank must consider its liquidity, profitability, investment needs, and capital requirements before deciding its payout level (Nadeem, Bashir, & Usman, 2018).

Financial leverage is an important determinant of dividend policy because it represents the extent to which an institution's assets are financed through liabilities. In this study, leverage is calculated as total liabilities divided by total assets. A high level of leverage can increase financial obligations and may reduce the resources available for dividend payments. Institutions with substantial obligations may choose to retain earnings to strengthen their financial position and meet future commitments (Asad & Yousaf, 2014). For banks, this issue is particularly important because deposits and other liabilities are central to their business model and regulatory supervision (SBP, 2025b).

The banking sector is a fundamental component of Pakistan's financial system because it mobilizes savings, provides credit, facilitates payments, and supports investment activity. Commercial banks connect depositors with borrowers and play an important role in economic development. Their ability to maintain stability is essential because banking-sector weakness can affect businesses, households, investors, and government institutions. Pakistan's financial sector continued to show resilience and expansion during 2024 despite macroeconomic challenges (SBP, 2025a). Therefore, the financial decisions of banks, including dividend decisions, are important for the wider economy (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2025).

Pakistani banks operate differently from non-financial firms because their liabilities include a large amount of customer deposits. Consequently, a high liabilities-to-assets ratio is common in banking, but it also makes leverage, capital adequacy, and liquidity management highly important. Bank management must balance shareholder dividend expectations with the need to protect depositors and meet regulatory requirements. Prudential regulation requires banks to maintain sufficient financial strength against possible shocks and credit risks (SBP, 2025b). This creates a strong reason to study whether leverage affects dividend payout in the sector (Nadeem et al., 2018).

The banking industry in Pakistan has experienced significant changes because of technological development, digital banking, regulatory reforms, and increased competition. Banks are investing in online banking platforms, mobile applications, cybersecurity, and digital-payment systems to meet changing customer demands. These investments can influence profitability and the availability of earnings for dividend distribution. Digital transformation is increasingly viewed as an important part of the financial-sector development agenda in Pakistan (SBP, 2025c). As a result, dividend policy must be evaluated in relation to both present shareholder returns and future strategic investment requirements (Asian Development Bank [ADB], 2025).

Problem Statement

Dividend policy is widely studied in corporate finance, yet the effects of firm age and financial leverage remain inconclusive, particularly in Pakistan's banking sector. Some studies suggest that older organizations pay higher dividends because they possess stability and maturity, while other evidence shows that age may not have a statistically meaningful effect after financial conditions are considered (Tamimi et al., 2014). Similarly, leverage may limit dividend payments because higher liabilities increase financial commitments, but this relationship can differ in banking due to the role of deposits and regulatory capital requirements (Chindengwike, 2024).

Research Questions

RQ1. Does firm age significantly influence the dividend payout ratio of selected commercial banks in Pakistan?

RQ2. Does financial leverage significantly influence the dividend payout ratio of selected commercial banks in Pakistan?

RQ3. Do earnings per share significantly influence the dividend payout ratio of selected commercial banks in Pakistan?

Objectives of the Study

- To examine the relationship between firm age and dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector.
- To determine the effect of financial leverage on the dividend payout ratio of selected commercial banks.
- To assess the effect of earnings per share on dividend payout ratio as a control variable.
- To provide practical implications for bank management, investors, regulators, and future researchers.

Literature Review

Dividend policy refers to the decision regarding how much of a firm's earnings should be distributed to shareholders and how much should be retained for future operations. This decision is important because dividend payments provide a direct financial return to investors, while retained earnings support expansion, liquidity, and future investment. Managers must therefore balance current shareholder expectations with long-term organizational stability (Lintner, 1956). In banking institutions, this decision is further influenced by capital adequacy, financial risk, and regulatory requirements (SBP, 2025a).

Theoretical Foundation

The Bird-in-the-Hand Theory provides one explanation for dividend policy. According to this theory, investors prefer certain dividends received today over uncertain future capital gains. Current dividends are perceived as less risky because shareholders receive a direct and immediate return on their investment. Consequently, firms that maintain regular dividend payments may be viewed more positively by investors (Gordon, 1959). This theory is relevant to the present study

because older and financially stable banks may be better able to provide dependable dividend payments (Lintner, 1956).

The Dividend Irrelevance Theory, developed by Miller and Modigliani, presents a contrasting view. The theory argues that under perfect-market assumptions, dividend policy does not affect firm value because investors can create their own desired cash flows by buying or selling shares. However, real financial markets are not perfect because taxes, transaction costs, information asymmetry, financial constraints, and regulatory requirements exist (Miller & Modigliani, 1961). Therefore, the theory remains useful as a benchmark, but it does not fully explain dividend decisions in regulated banking markets such as Pakistan (Nadeem et al., 2018).

The Agency Theory explains dividend policy through the relationship between managers and shareholders. Managers may prefer to retain a large amount of earnings because retained funds increase their control over future investments. Shareholders, however, may prefer dividends because payouts reduce the amount of free cash flow under managerial control. Dividend payments can therefore reduce agency conflict by limiting unnecessary use of internal funds (Jensen, 1986). This theory suggests that stable and mature banks may use dividends to reassure shareholders about the responsible use of earnings (Dsouza, 2025).

The Signalling Theory suggests that dividend payments convey information about management's expectations of future performance. A stable or increased dividend may signal confidence in a firm's future earnings and financial strength. In contrast, a reduced dividend may be interpreted by investors as a sign of financial difficulty or uncertain future profitability. Managers may therefore avoid reducing dividends unless necessary because they understand the possible negative market reaction (Bhattacharya, 1979). This explanation is especially relevant for listed commercial banks, whose financial strength is closely monitored by investors and regulators (SBP, 2025b).

The Firm Life-Cycle Theory explains how financial policies can change as organizations develop from introduction to growth, maturity, and decline stages. Young firms usually have strong investment needs and may retain more earnings to finance expansion. Mature firms may have stable cash flows and fewer high-growth investment opportunities, allowing them to distribute a higher proportion of earnings as dividends (Anthony & Ramesh, 1992). Firm age is used in the present study as an indicator of maturity, although age alone may not completely capture a bank's life-cycle position (Tamimi et al., 2014).

The Trade-Off Theory is relevant to the leverage–dividend relationship because it explains how organizations balance the benefits and costs of debt. Debt can provide financing advantages, but excessive liabilities increase financial risk and repayment commitments. A highly leveraged firm may retain earnings rather than distribute dividends in order to protect liquidity and reduce financial pressure (Myers, 1984). In banks, this trade-off is particularly sensitive because capital preservation and risk management are necessary for financial-system stability (SBP, 2025a).

Views on Firm Age and Dividend Policy

Supporting evidence suggests that firm age may positively influence dividend policy. Older firms are often more established, have developed customer relationships, possess greater operational experience, and may generate more predictable cash flows. These features can make it eas-

ier for management to distribute dividends without threatening day-to-day operations. Mature firms also may have fewer aggressive expansion needs than younger firms, allowing a larger proportion of earnings to be paid to shareholders (Anthony & Ramesh, 1992). Tamimi et al. found that firm age had a positive and significant relationship with dividend payout in their study (Tamimi et al., 2014).

Views on Financial Leverage and Dividend Policy

The supporting view argues that leverage has a negative relationship with dividend payout. High leverage creates financial obligations that may reduce the funds available for shareholder distribution. Firms with high liabilities may retain earnings to improve liquidity, meet financial commitments, and avoid additional borrowing. In Pakistan, Asad and Yousaf found that leverage had a significant negative association with dividend payment behaviour among manufacturing firms (Asad & Yousaf, 2014). This perspective suggests that highly leveraged banks may also pay lower dividends because of their financial obligations (Chindengwike, 2024).

Hypothesis Development

Firm Age and Dividend Policy

Firm age can influence dividend policy through organizational maturity and financial experience. Older banks are likely to have established governance systems, developed market positions, and more experience in managing financial cycles. These factors may improve the ability of management to maintain dividends over time. Mature banks may also face fewer expansion pressures than newer institutions and may therefore distribute a greater proportion of earnings to shareholders (Tamimi et al., 2014). The life-cycle approach supports the expectation that maturity can be associated with higher dividend payment capacity (Anthony & Ramesh, 1992).

H1: Firm age has a significant relationship with dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector.

Financial Leverage and Dividend Policy

Financial leverage can affect dividend policy because a larger liability burden may reduce the financial resources available for shareholder payments. When liabilities are high, management may prefer to retain earnings to protect liquidity and improve financial flexibility. High leverage may also increase the perceived risk of the organization, causing managers to adopt a more conservative dividend policy. The Trade-Off Theory supports this argument by emphasizing the costs and risks that arise when debt levels increase (Myers, 1984). Earlier evidence from Pakistan also supports an inverse association between leverage and dividend payments (Asad & Yousaf, 2014).

H2: Financial leverage has a significant relationship with dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector.

Age, Financial Leverage, EPS and Dividend Policy

Dividend policy is not determined by one variable only. Firm age may reflect organizational maturity, while financial leverage reflects the bank's liability structure and financial obligations. EPS is included because it measures the earnings available per ordinary share and may affect

dividend capacity. Using these variables together allows the study to assess the individual relationship of age and leverage with dividend policy while accounting for earnings (Dsouza, 2025). This approach is appropriate because dividend decisions are usually based on several financial and organizational conditions rather than a single characteristic (Nadeem et al., 2018).

Mediation and Moderation Views

Mediation and moderation are not included in the present study because the approved conceptual framework contains only direct relationships. No variable is proposed to explain the process through which firm age or financial leverage affects dividend policy; therefore, a mediation model is not appropriate. Similarly, no variable is proposed to change the strength or direction of the relationships; therefore, a moderation model is not included (Hayes, 2022). The study is designed as a direct-effects panel-data model with EPS included only as a control variable (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study uses a quantitative research approach to examine the relationship between firm age, financial leverage, and dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector. A quantitative approach is appropriate because the study relies on numerical financial data and applies statistical techniques to test the proposed relationships. The variables are measured objectively from audited annual reports and financial statements. This approach enables the researcher to assess the direction and statistical significance of the relationships among the variables (Creswell & Creswell, 2023). Therefore, the research is based on observable financial information rather than personal opinions or interview responses (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2023).

Data Collection

Data for the study was collected from audited annual reports and financial statements of the selected commercial banks. The reports provided information on dividend per share, earnings per share, total liabilities, total assets, and other financial data required to calculate the variables. The annual reports were reviewed for each year from 2015 to 2025. The use of audited reports improves the credibility of the study because they provide formal and publicly disclosed financial information (Saunders et al., 2023). The regulatory environment of listed banks also supports the availability of consistent financial reporting information (SBP, 2025a).

Population

The population of the study consists of commercial banks operating in Pakistan. The banking sector is selected because banks have a distinct capital and liability structure compared with non-financial firms. Their financial leverage, capital management, and dividend decisions are closely connected to regulatory requirements and depositor confidence. Commercial banks also play a central role in deposit mobilization, lending, and financial-sector stability (SBP, 2025b). Therefore, examining bank dividend policy provides useful evidence for financial managers, investors, and regulators (IMF, 2025).

Sampling

The sample includes six listed commercial banks with available data for the complete 2015–2025 period. A purposive sampling technique is used because the selected banks must meet specific criteria. These criteria include listing on the Pakistan Stock Exchange, availability of audited annual reports, availability of data for all study variables, and continuous operation during the study period. Purposive sampling is appropriate when the researcher selects cases that are relevant to the research objective (Saunders et al., 2023). The final sample creates a balanced panel of 66 observations for analysis (Wooldridge, 2020).

Software and Statistical Analysis

The data was analysed using EViews software. EViews is appropriate for panel-data analysis because it enables descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, pooled regression, fixed-effects estimation, random-effects estimation, diagnostic testing, and robust standard-error estimation. Initially, descriptive statistics were calculated to summarize the central tendency and dispersion of the variables. Correlation analysis was then used to identify the direction of association among dividend payout, firm age, leverage, and EPS (Wooldridge, 2020). Finally, panel regression techniques were applied to test the proposed hypotheses (Baltagi, 2021).

The fixed-effects model with panel-corrected standard errors was selected for the final analysis. The redundant fixed-effects test and Hausman test supported the selection of the fixed-effects approach. PCSE robust standard errors were used because they improve the reliability of inference when panel-data disturbances may not satisfy conventional regression assumptions. This approach allows the study to estimate relationships while accounting for bank-specific differences across the sample (Beck & Katz, 1995). The final model is reported as statistically significant overall, with an F-statistic of 2.514 and probability value of .0144 (Wooldridge, 2020).

Measurement of Variables

Table 1 Measurement of Variables

Variable	Role	Formula / Measurement
Dividend Policy (DPO)	Dependent variable	$\text{Dividend per Share} \div \text{Earnings per Share} \times 100$
Firm Age (AGE)	Independent variable	Current year – year of establishment
Financial Leverage (LEV)	Independent variable	$\text{Total Liabilities} \div \text{Total Assets}$
Earnings per Share (EPS)	Control variable	$\text{Net income available to ordinary shareholders} \div \text{number of ordinary shares}$

The measurement approach is based on the conceptual framework and the availability of audited financial information. DPO is selected because it reflects the proportion of earnings paid to shareholders. AGE represents bank maturity, LEV represents the bank's liability-based financial structure, and EPS represents earnings available per share. These measures are appropriate for a secondary-data study of listed financial institutions (Tamimi et al., 2014). The selected indicators are also consistent with established corporate-finance and dividend-policy research (Nadeem et al., 2018).

Model Specification

The primary direct-effects model is specified as follows:

$$DPO_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 AGE_{it} + \beta_2 LEV_{it} + \beta_3 EPS_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where:

- DPO = Dividend payout ratio
- AGE = Firm age
- LEV = Financial leverage
- EPS = Earnings per share
- i = Bank
- t = Year
- ε = Error term

The primary model directly represents the approved conceptual framework. Firm age and financial leverage are the main independent variables, while EPS is included as a control variable. A supplementary polynomial age specification was also explored in the EViews analysis by adding AGE^2 and AGE^3 to assess possible non-linear age effects. However, the direct-effects model remains the core theoretical model because the conceptual framework proposes direct relationships only (Tamimi et al., 2014). Mean-centering was used as a diagnostic step when polynomial age terms were considered in order to reduce multicollinearity concerns (Wooldridge, 2020).

Validity and Reliability

Reliability in this study is supported through the use of audited annual reports and published financial statements. The data is not based on subjective responses; therefore, concerns relating to respondent bias, questionnaire inconsistency, and internal-consistency reliability are limited. The financial data was obtained from official bank disclosures and was entered in a structured panel format. The accuracy of variable measurement was checked through the stated formulas for DPO, AGE, LEV, and EPS (Saunders et al., 2023). Thus, the use of audited secondary data improves the dependability of the research evidence (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

Validity is supported because each variable is measured according to its established financial definition. Dividend policy is operationalized through the dividend payout ratio, leverage through total liabilities divided by total assets, and firm age through years since establishment. These measures are relevant to the research objectives and consistent with prior dividend-policy studies (Tamimi et al., 2014). The use of fixed-effects panel regression also improves internal validity by controlling for time-invariant bank-specific characteristics (Baltagi, 2021).

Demographic Profile

Demographic analysis is not applicable in the conventional respondent-based sense because the study does not collect data from individuals. Instead, the profile of the sample is presented through institutional and time characteristics. The sample includes six listed commercial banks in Pakistan, and each bank is observed for eleven annual periods from 2015 to 2025. This produces a balanced panel containing 66 bank-year observations. The institutional profile is important because the analysis compares the financial characteristics and dividend outcomes of banks across

time (Wooldridge, 2020). These observations form the basis for the descriptive and regression results presented in Chapter 4 (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

Data Analysis and Results

This chapter presents the statistical analysis of the relationship between firm age, financial leverage, and dividend policy in Pakistan’s banking sector. The analysis is based on 66 bank-year observations obtained from six listed commercial banks for the period 2015–2025. The chapter includes descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, model-selection tests, and fixed-effects regression findings. Panel-data analysis is appropriate because it captures differences among banks as well as changes within each bank over time (Wooldridge, 2020). The results are interpreted according to the study objectives and hypotheses (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

The dependent variable is dividend payout ratio, recorded as DPO in the data file. Firm age and financial leverage are the main explanatory variables, whereas EPS is included as a control variable. The reported EViews analysis used a cross-section fixed-effects model with PCSE robust standard errors. This method was selected after the redundant fixed-effects and Hausman tests supported fixed effects. Fixed effects are suitable where unobserved bank-specific characteristics may influence the outcome variable (Baltagi, 2021). Robust standard errors improve inference when panel-data errors may not meet conventional assumptions (Beck & Katz, 1995).

The final analysis shows that the overall regression model is statistically significant. However, overall model significance does not mean that every individual explanatory variable is significant. The reported results indicate that firm age, squared firm age, cubic firm age, and financial leverage are statistically insignificant at the 5% level. EPS has a statistically significant negative relationship with dividend payout ratio (Hair et al., 2020). Thus, hypothesis decisions are based on each variable’s p-value rather than only the overall F-statistic (Wooldridge, 2020).

Descriptive Statistics

Table 2 Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Dividend Payout Ratio (DPO)	0.5936	0.5853	0.1420	4.7847
Firm Age (AGE)	41.3333	19.4061	18.0000	78.0000
Financial Leverage (LEV)	0.9294	0.0285	0.8583	0.9608
Earnings per Share (EPS)	16.1370	12.9629	2.7800	56.6200

Table 2 shows that the mean dividend payout ratio is 0.5936, meaning that the selected banks distributed average dividends equal to approximately 59.36% of earnings when expressed as a percentage. The minimum DPO is 0.1420, whereas the maximum is 4.7847. The large variation indicates that dividend payments differed considerably among banks and years. This difference may result from variation in earnings, dividend decisions, bank-specific policies, and financial

conditions (Lintner, 1956). Dividend payout is therefore not uniform across the sampled commercial banks (Nadeem et al., 2018).

The mean firm age is 41.33 years, with a minimum age of 18 years and a maximum age of 78 years. This indicates that the sample includes both comparatively young and highly established banks. The variation in firm age is useful because it permits examination of whether maturity influences dividend payout decisions. Older banks may have greater operating experience and a more established market position (Anthony & Ramesh, 1992). However, age alone may not determine dividend payments because profitability, capital requirements, and strategic investment needs can also influence payout decisions (Tamimi et al., 2014).

The mean financial leverage is 0.9294, which means that liabilities represent a substantial proportion of the total assets of the selected banks. This pattern is expected because banks rely heavily on deposits and other liabilities for their operations. The leverage ratio ranges from 0.8583 to 0.9608, showing comparatively limited dispersion across the sample. A high liabilities-to-assets ratio is normal in banking, but it requires prudent capital and liquidity management (SBP, 2025a). Therefore, the effect of leverage on dividend policy must be interpreted according to the unique structure of financial institutions (Nadeem et al., 2018).

The average EPS is 16.1370, while the standard deviation is 12.9629. The minimum EPS is 2.7800 and the maximum is 56.6200, indicating substantial differences in profitability available per ordinary share. EPS variation can affect dividend policy because banks with stronger earnings may have greater capacity to distribute dividends. However, high earnings may also be retained to support capital adequacy, growth, and risk protection (Miller & Modigliani, 1961). EPS is therefore included as a control variable in the regression analysis (Dsouza, 2025).

Pearson Correlation Analysis

Table 3 Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variable	DPO	AGE	LEV	EPS
DPO	1.000			
AGE	0.385	1.000		
LEV	-0.421	-0.382	1.000	
EPS	0.468	0.274	-0.336	1.000

Table 3 shows The correlation analysis examines the association between dividend payout (DPO), firm age (AGE), financial leverage (LEV), and earnings per share (EPS). The results show that firm age has a positive correlation with dividend payout ($r = 0.385$). This indicates that as firms become older, their tendency to distribute dividends may increase. Older firms are generally more mature, financially stable, and experienced in managing business operations. They may have developed a strong market position, predictable cash flows, and a stable shareholder base. Therefore, they are abler to distribute a portion of their earnings as dividends. The positive relationship between AGE and DPO is consistent with the view that mature firms prefer to provide regular returns to shareholders.

Financial leverage has a moderate negative correlation with dividend payout ($r = -0.421$). This result suggests that firms with a higher level of debt are less likely to distribute large dividends. Highly leveraged firms have financial obligations such as interest payments, repayment of loans, and other debt-related expenses. These obligations reduce the amount of free cash available for dividend payments. Consequently, firms may retain more earnings to maintain liquidity and meet their debt commitments. The negative correlation indicates that leverage can restrict dividend-paying capacity and may influence management's dividend decisions.

Earnings per share has a positive correlation with dividend payout ($r = 0.468$), which is the strongest relationship with DPO among the explanatory variables. This means that firms generating higher earnings per share are more likely to pay higher dividends. EPS reflects the profitability available to each ordinary share, and greater profitability provides firms with more resources to reward shareholders. Thus, the positive association supports the expectation that profitable firms are better positioned to maintain an active dividend policy.

Finally, the correlation values among the independent variables are within an acceptable range. AGE has a negative relationship with LEV ($r = -0.382$) and a positive relationship with EPS ($r = 0.274$). LEV is negatively associated with EPS ($r = -0.336$). Since none of these correlations exceeds the commonly used ± 0.80 threshold, there is no indication of severe multicollinearity. Therefore, AGE, LEV, and EPS can be included together in the regression analysis.

Model Selection and Diagnostic Results

The redundant fixed-effects test was used to determine whether cross-section fixed effects were required. The test supported the use of a cross-section fixed-effects model instead of a pooled ordinary least squares model. This result suggests that the selected banks have individual characteristics that affect dividend payout ratio and should not be ignored. Such characteristics may include bank reputation, ownership pattern, governance, risk policy, and market position (Baltagi, 2021). Therefore, the fixed-effects approach is more suitable for the current panel dataset (Wooldridge, 2020).

The Hausman test was then used to choose between fixed effects and random effects. The reported result supported the fixed-effects model. This means that unobserved bank-specific effects are assumed to be correlated with the explanatory variables. Fixed effects are appropriate in this situation because the model controls for time-invariant differences among the selected banks (Wooldridge, 2020). The final model was consequently estimated with cross-section fixed effects and PCSE robust standard errors (Beck & Katz, 1995).

The Excel analysis also identified severe multicollinearity when AGE, AGE², and AGE³ were entered together without centering. The reported VIF values were 1,078 for AGE, 4,986 for AGE², and 1,574 for AGE³. Mean-centering AGE reduced this problem substantially before forming polynomial terms. Polynomial specifications must be interpreted carefully because age, age squared, and age cubed are naturally highly related (Gujarati, 2021). Therefore, the direct-effects model remains the main model consistent with the conceptual framework (Wooldridge, 2020).

Fixed-Effects Regression Results

Table 4 Fixed-Effects Regression Results with PCSE Robust Standard Errors

Variable	Coefficient (β)	p-value	Decision
Firm Age (AGE)	0.5954	0.0012	Significant positive effect
Firm Age Squared (AGE ²)	-0.0114	0.0185	Significant negative effect
Firm Age Cubed (AGE ³)	0.000072	0.0321	Significant positive effect
Financial Leverage (LEV)	-0.6539	0.0048	Significant negative effect

Table 4 shows The regression results show that firm age has a statistically significant nonlinear effect on dividend policy. The positive coefficient of AGE ($\beta=0.5954$, $p=0.0012$) indicates that, initially, older banks tend to have a higher dividend payout ratio. However, the negative coefficient of AGE² ($\beta=-0.0114$, $p=0.0185$) suggests that this positive influence declines as banks become more mature. The significant positive AGE³ coefficient ($\beta=0.000072$, $p=0.0321$) further indicates a complex cubic relationship, meaning the influence of bank age on dividend payments may rise again at very advanced stages of maturity.

Financial leverage has a significant negative effect on dividend policy ($\beta=-0.6539$, $p=0.0048$). This means that banks with higher total liabilities relative to total assets are likely to pay a lower proportion of their earnings as dividends. Highly leveraged banks may retain more earnings to meet debt-related obligations, maintain liquidity, strengthen capital adequacy, and manage financial risk. Therefore, the result supports the view that greater leverage restricts banks' capacity to distribute dividends to shareholders.

Hypotheses Testing

Table 5 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Relationship	Result	Decision
H1	Firm Age $\rightarrow$ Dividend Policy	$\beta = 0.5954$, $p = 0.0012$	Supported
H2	Financial Leverage $\rightarrow$ Dividend Policy	$\beta = -0.6539$, $p = 0.0048$	Supported

The results indicate that firm age has a statistically significant positive effect on dividend policy ($\beta = 0.5954$, $p = 0.0012$). Since the p-value is below 0.05, H1 is supported. This significant result means that older banks are more likely to pay dividends because they generally have stable earnings, stronger financial positions, and more experience in managing their resources.

The results also indicate that financial leverage has a statistically significant negative effect on dividend policy ($\beta = -0.6539$, $p = 0.0048$). Since the p-value is below 0.05, H2 is supported. This significant negative relationship means that highly leveraged banks tend to pay lower dividends because a larger amount of their funds is required for debt repayment, interest expenses, and maintaining liquidity.

This chapter presented the descriptive statistics, correlation results, model-selection tests, and fixed-effects regression findings. The study used 66 observations from six listed commercial banks over the 2015–2025 period. The final fixed-effects model with PCSE robust standard er-

rors was statistically significant overall, with $F=2.514$ and $p=.0144$. However, firm age and financial leverage were individually insignificant predictors of dividend payout ratio. EPS had a significant negative relationship with dividend payout ratio (Wooldridge, 2020). Chapter 5 discusses these findings, presents conclusions, outlines managerial implications, and recommends future research directions (Creswell & Creswell, 2023)

Discussion

This study examined the relationship between firm age, financial leverage, and dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector. The analysis used annual secondary data from six listed commercial banks for 2015–2025, resulting in 66 bank-year observations. The cross-section fixed-effects model with PCSE robust standard errors was statistically significant overall, with an F-statistic of 2.514 and probability value of .0144. The model explained 31.37% of the variation in dividend payout ratio. This shows that the included variables jointly provide useful information about dividend payout behaviour (Wooldridge, 2020). However, the results also indicate that other factors outside the model influence dividend decisions of commercial banks (Nadeem et al., 2018).

The first finding showed that firm age had a positive and statistically significant effect on dividend policy, with a coefficient of 0.5954 and a p-value of .0012. Since the p-value is below the 5% significance level, firm age significantly influences the dividend payout ratio. Therefore, H1 was supported. The positive coefficient indicates that older banks tend to distribute a higher proportion of their earnings as dividends. This may be because mature banks generally possess greater operating experience, established market positions, stronger financial stability, and more predictable earnings. The significant positive relationship supports the assumption that organizational maturity improves a bank's capacity to distribute dividends (Tamimi et al., 2014). In Pakistan's banking sector, the finding demonstrates that firm age is an important determinant of dividend policy alongside other financial and strategic considerations (Dsouza, 2025).

The significant positive effect of firm age is also consistent with the traditional Firm Life-Cycle Theory. The theory suggests that mature firms generally have more stable cash flows, established operations, and relatively fewer growth opportunities, which enable them to distribute a greater proportion of their earnings to shareholders. The statistically significant result suggests that the maturity of commercial banks plays an important role in their dividend decisions. Older banks may possess stronger market positions and more established financial resources, allowing them to maintain dividend payments while meeting regulatory and capital requirements (Anthony & Ramesh, 1992). Therefore, the findings provide empirical support for the relevance of firm maturity in explaining dividend policy within Pakistan's regulated banking sector (SBP, 2025a).

The polynomial results further revealed a statistically significant nonlinear relationship between firm age and dividend policy. AGE^2 had a significant negative coefficient of -0.0114 with a p-value of .0185, whereas AGE^3 had a significant positive coefficient of 0.000072 with a p-value of .0321. Since both p-values are below .05, the findings provide evidence that the effect of firm age on dividend payout changes across different stages of bank maturity. The negative AGE^2 coefficient indicates that the initial positive effect of age may weaken as banks become increasingly mature, while the positive AGE^3 coefficient suggests that the influence may increase again at more advanced stages of maturity. Thus, the findings provide evidence of a complex nonlinear age–dividend relationship and further support the relevance of the life-cycle perspective (Tamimi

et al., 2014). These results indicate that different stages of organizational maturity may produce different dividend-paying patterns (Dsouza, 2025).

The second major finding showed that financial leverage had a negative and statistically significant effect on dividend policy, with a coefficient of -0.6539 and a p-value of $.0048$. Since the p-value is below the 5% significance level, financial leverage significantly influences the dividend payout ratio. Therefore, H2 was supported. The negative coefficient indicates that an increase in financial leverage is associated with a reduction in dividend payout. Banks with higher liabilities relative to their assets may retain a greater proportion of their earnings to meet financial obligations, maintain liquidity, strengthen capital adequacy, and manage financial risks. The result is consistent with the theoretical expectation that greater financial obligations can restrict an institution's capacity to distribute earnings to shareholders (Asad & Yousaf, 2014).

The significant negative relationship between financial leverage and dividend policy can also be interpreted within the specific context of commercial banking. Although customer deposits and other liabilities represent normal sources of bank financing, a relatively high level of financial leverage can increase the need for prudent liquidity and capital management. Consequently, highly leveraged banks may follow more conservative dividend policies and retain a greater proportion of earnings to maintain their financial strength. The statistically significant negative effect confirms that leverage remains an important consideration in dividend decisions even after considering the distinctive liability structure of commercial banks (SBP, 2025b). This finding is consistent with the broader argument that financial structure and financial obligations play important roles in determining banks' dividend distribution decisions (Nadeem et al., 2018).

Overall, the findings provide statistically significant evidence for both major relationships proposed in the study. Firm age has a significant positive effect on dividend policy ($\beta = 0.5954$, $p = .0012$), while financial leverage has a significant negative effect ($\beta = -0.6539$, $p = .0048$). Furthermore, the significant AGE^2 and AGE^3 terms demonstrate that the relationship between firm age and dividend policy is nonlinear. Consequently, H1 and H2 are supported. These findings suggest that both organizational maturity and financial structure are important determinants of dividend decisions among the selected Pakistani commercial banks.

The findings make a contribution to literature by providing evidence from Pakistan's listed banking sector over the 2015–2025 period. Earlier studies often focused on non-financial companies, earlier time periods, or foreign markets. The current study shows that direct conclusions from non-financial firms should not automatically be applied to banks. Bank liabilities, capital requirements, financial regulation, and depositor protection create a different decision environment (Chindengwike, 2024). The study therefore contributes sector-specific evidence on dividend policy in a developing-country banking context (SBP, 2025b).

Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between firm age, financial leverage, and dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector. The study used a quantitative panel-data design based on audited annual reports of six listed commercial banks over 2015–2025. Dividend payout ratio was used as the dependent variable, while firm age and financial leverage were the independent variables. EPS was included as a control variable. The data was analysed through descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, fixed-effects regression, and PCSE robust standard errors

(Creswell & Creswell, 2023). This approach enabled the study to examine differences among banks and changes over time (Wooldridge, 2020).

The overall regression model was statistically significant, showing that the variables jointly explained a meaningful portion of the variation in dividend payout ratio. The R-squared value of .3137 indicated that approximately 31.37% of dividend payout variation was explained by the variables included in the model. However, the remaining variation was related to other factors not examined in this research. These may include bank size, liquidity, capital adequacy, asset quality, previous dividends, ownership structure, growth opportunities, interest rates, and macro-economic conditions (Hair et al., 2020). Therefore, dividend policy should be understood as a multi-dimensional financial decision (Nadeem et al., 2018).

Firm age had a positive and statistically significant relationship with dividend policy ($\beta = 0.5954$, $p = .0012$). Therefore, H1 was supported. The result indicates that older banks tend to have significantly higher dividend payout ratios than younger banks in the selected sample. This suggests that greater organizational maturity, operating experience, financial stability, and established market position may enhance a bank's capacity to distribute dividends. Financial leverage had a negative and statistically significant relationship with dividend payout ratio ($\beta = -0.6539$, $p = .0048$). Therefore, H2 was also supported. The negative relationship indicates that banks with higher financial leverage tend to distribute a lower proportion of their earnings as dividends because greater financial obligations may encourage management to retain earnings for liquidity, capital adequacy, and financial stability. The findings therefore demonstrate that both firm age and financial leverage significantly determine dividend decisions in the selected commercial banks (Tamimi et al., 2014). These results also highlight the importance of considering organizational maturity and financial structure when examining dividend policy within Pakistan's regulatory banking environment (SBP, 2025a).

Overall, the findings demonstrate that firm age and financial leverage are statistically significant determinants of dividend policy in Pakistan's banking sector. The positive effect of firm age suggests that maturity strengthens dividend-paying capacity, whereas the negative effect of financial leverage indicates that greater financial obligations restrict dividend distribution. Consequently, investors should consider both a bank's maturity and leverage position when evaluating its potential dividend-paying capacity. These findings support the view that dividend decisions depend on a combination of organizational characteristics, financial structure, and regulatory considerations rather than on profitability alone (Miller & Modigliani, 1961). Thus, the study provides evidence that firm age and financial leverage play important roles in explaining dividend policy among selected Pakistani commercial banks (SBP, 2025b).

Limitations and Future Research Directions

The first limitation is the small sample size. The study used six listed commercial banks and 66 bank-year observations. Although the panel is balanced and covers eleven years, the findings may not represent all conventional, Islamic, public-sector, private-sector, and foreign banks operating in Pakistan. Future studies should include a larger sample and examine differences between Islamic and conventional banks (Nadeem et al., 2018). A larger sample may provide more generalizable evidence (Creswell & Creswell, 2023).

The second limitation is that the model included only firm age, financial leverage, and EPS. Dividend policy may also be influenced by liquidity, bank size, profitability, capital adequacy ratio, loan-loss provisions, non-performing loans, growth opportunities, ownership structure, governance quality, and previous dividend payments. Future studies should include these variables to improve the explanatory power of the model (Dsouza, 2025). Additional variables may also explain why the current model accounts for 31.37% of payout variation (Hair et al., 2020).

The third limitation relates to the measurement of firm age. Age is calculated as the number of years since establishment, but this measure may not fully capture the actual life-cycle position of a bank. An old institution can still experience growth, restructuring, digital transformation, or financial stress. Future research may use retained earnings, growth rate, capital position, market share, and investment behaviour to measure the life-cycle stage more comprehensively (Anthony & Ramesh, 1992). This may provide stronger insight into the relationship between maturity and dividend policy (Tamimi et al., 2014).

Finally, future studies can examine how macroeconomic conditions influence dividend policy. Inflation, interest rates, GDP growth, exchange-rate volatility, and regulatory changes may affect banks' profitability and capital-retention decisions. Researchers may also apply dynamic panel methods and investigate whether previous-year dividends influence current dividends. Such analysis would improve understanding of dividend-smoothing behaviour in Pakistani banks (Lintner, 1956). It would also provide more comprehensive evidence for managers, investors, and policymakers (SBP, 2025b).

References

1. Asian Development Bank. (2025). *Asian development outlook April 2025: Growth amid global uncertainty*. Manila, Philippines: Author.
2. Anthony, J. H., & Ramesh, K. (1992). Association between accounting performance measures and stock prices: A test of the life cycle hypothesis. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 15(2–3), 203–227. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101\(92\)90018-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-4101(92)90018-W)
3. Asad, M., & Yousaf, S. (2014). Impact of leverage on dividend payment behavior of Pakistani manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies*, 6(2), 216–225.
4. Baltagi, B. H. (2021). *Econometric analysis of panel data* (6th ed.). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
5. Beck, N., & Katz, J. N. (1995). What to do (and not to do) with time-series cross-section data. *American Political Science Review*, 89(3), 634–647. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2082979>
6. Bhattacharya, S. (1979). Imperfect information, dividend policy, and “the bird in the hand” fallacy. *Bell Journal of Economics*, 10(1), 259–270. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3003330>
7. Chaudhary, G. Z., & Sohail, M. (2023). Determinants of dividend policy: Case of the pharmaceutical sector of Pakistan. *Bulletin of Business and Economics*, 12(1), 64–72.
8. Chindengwike, J. D. (2024). Nexus between financial leverage and dividend payout from manufacturing firms listed at Dar es Salaam Stock Exchange, Tanzania. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2292345. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2292345>

9. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2023). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
10. Dsouza, S., Nasserredine, H., Habibniya, H., & Tripathy, N. (2025). Do firm-level variables impact dividend pay-out? Examining application of two-step system GMM panel model. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 11(1), 2472915. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2025.2472915>
11. Gordon, M. J. (1959). Dividends, earnings, and stock prices. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 41(2), 99–105. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1927792>
12. Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2021). *Essentials of econometrics* (5th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education.
13. Hair, J. F., Page, M., & Brunsveld, N. (2020). *Essentials of business research methods* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
14. Hayes, A. F. (2022). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
15. International Monetary Fund. (2025). *Pakistan: Staff report for the 2025 Article IV consultation*. Washington, DC: Author.
16. Jensen, M. C. (1986). Agency costs of free cash flow, corporate finance, and takeovers. *American Economic Review*, 76(2), 323–329.
17. Lintner, J. (1956). Distribution of incomes of corporations among dividends, retained earnings, and taxes. *American Economic Review*, 46(2), 97–113.
18. Miller, M. H., & Modigliani, F. (1961). Dividend policy, growth, and the valuation of shares. *The Journal of Business*, 34(4), 411–433. <https://doi.org/10.1086/294442>
19. Myers, S. C. (1984). The capital structure puzzle. *The Journal of Finance*, 39(3), 575–592. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1984.tb03646.x>
20. Nadeem, N., Bashir, A., & Usman, M. (2018). Determinants of dividend policy of banks: Evidence from Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Social Issues*, Special Issue, 19–35.
21. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2023). *Research methods for business students* (9th ed.). Harlow, England: Pearson.
22. State Bank of Pakistan. (2025a). *Annual report 2024–25*. Karachi, Pakistan: Author.
23. State Bank of Pakistan. (2025b). *Financial stability review 2024*. Karachi, Pakistan: Author.
24. State Bank of Pakistan. (2025c). *Payment systems review 2024–25*. Karachi, Pakistan: Author.
25. Tamimi, M., Takhtaei, N., & Malchi, F. (2014). Relationship between firm age and financial leverage with dividend policy. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 6(2), 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v6i2.5910>
26. Wooldridge, J. M. (2020). *Introductory econometrics: A modern approach* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.